

### Remarks

Further and favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks.

Thus, claim 2 has been amended as suggested by the Examiner in item 1 on page 2 of the Office Action.

The claims have also been amended in response to the rejection of claims 4, 9, 11 and 16-18 under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112.

Thus, claim 4 has been amended to delete the preferred embodiment concerning use of the electricity in the electrolysis cell, which is now set forth in new claim 21.

Each of claims 9 and 16-18 has been amended to change "deposited" to --stored--. This latter term is apparent from the context in which the specification uses the term "deposited", for instance as indicated at page 4, lines 29-30 and page 15, line 32 of the specification. Amended claims 9 and 16-18 also include a change from "is recovered" to --are recovered--, since this refers back to the plural "all parts".

Claim 11 has been amended to indicate that the streams are derived from the combustion chamber. This is apparent from the description of, for example, Fig. 1, especially in the first two full paragraphs on page 10 of the specification.

The allowance of claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, 12-15, 19 and 20 is noted.


Referring to item 5 on page 3 of the Office Action, in view of the claim amendments, Applicants take the position that claims 4, 9, 11 and 16-18, as well as new claim 21, are also in condition for allowance.

For these reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Such allowance is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Knut Ingvar ASEN et al.

By:

  
Michael R. Davis  
Registration No. 25,134  
Attorney for Applicants

MRD/pth  
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021  
Telephone (202) 721-8200  
Facsimile (202) 721-8250  
April 24, 2008